Aquatic Therapy and Falls prevention. The use of Halliwick and Ai Chi in the geriatric population. Implementation of EBP.

Johan Lambeck PT,

lambeck@freeler.nl





Contents



- Risk factors in geriatrics
- Human balancing strategies
- Fall prevention programmes
- Hydrotherapy: it's role in fall prevention
- Water Specific Therapy:
 - Halliwick
 - Ai Chi
 - The obstacle course



Epidemiology



- 30 % of persons older than 65 fall at least once a year; in the 80 – 90 year old category, falls increase to 45 % once a year. Rubinstein cs, 1988
- WHO: fall related function disorders at 3rd place worldwide
- USA: 250.000 osteoporotic hip fractures annually, 90% because of falling



Loss of balance: falling



- Represents a failure to meet intrinsic and extrinsic demands of mobility in a specific environment
- 50 % fall because of intrinsic factors: regular/ multiple fallers

o Age and disease

50 % fall because of extrinsic, environmental factors: accidental fallers Duysens, 2007





Intrinsic factors Falls are correlated with:



- Muscle strength of the legs, e.g.:
 - Tibialis Anterior, Triceps surae, Quadriceps Vastus Medialis, Hamstrings, Abductors, Adductors

Range of Motion legs and lumbar spine

- 70% has ROM limitations lumbar spine
- Walking balance (1 leg): 60% of falls because of slipping or tripping, foot getting stuck
- Single leg stance: e.g. while dressing
- Sitting down and rising from chairs
- Sitting and reaching beyond balance limits

More intrinsic factors



- Use of (psychotropic) medication that decreases reaction speed, esp. at start or during chronic use . Also: prednisone, cytostatics
- Problems with (depth) vision, double-focus glasses
- Changes in blood pressure
- Changes of blood sugars
- Changes of cognitive possibilities to judge dangers incl Alzheimer
- Low body mass index
- Diseases: rheumatological, Parkinson, stroke

Stroke



- Strength explains a significant part of low ratings at tests like Berg Balance Scale, Timed-Up-Go and Falls Efficacy Scale
- When both strength and balance are affected: risk of being severely walking disabled is 10 times higher than when having only one function impairment
- Fear of falling increases falls chance with 1.8 \times
- In long-term chronic stroke, falling does not increase. People learn to adapt to their balance limitations and avoid compromising situations

- Pantanen J. Geronyology 1999, Belgen B, APMR 2006

Parkinson and falling



- 70% of the patients fall once a year
- 46% of the patients fall once a week and 33% fall even at least twice a week
- 25% has fractured a hip within 10 years after diagnosis
- Fall problems start about 10 years after the first symptoms
- 70% of falls are caused by patient related factors
- 9-fold increased risk of recurrent falls
 - King et al 2008, Bloem et al 2001

Predictors



- Parkinson:
 - Severity and length of the disease (Hoehn/Yahr >2)
 - Previous fall
 - Absence of arm sway
 - Dementia
- Stroke
 - Cutoff scores to identify fall risk in stroke vary from 45 to 52 on the Berg Balance Scale
 - Belgen B, APMR 2006

Risk factors and rheumatology



- Age > 55 years
- Osteoporosis
- Use of corticosteroids
- Decreased ROM and strength
- Nociceptive inhibition of proper arthro-kinetics
- Unsteady posture and gait

Non-vertebral fracture risk in postmenopausal women



No index value	Highest index value
< 65	> 80
< 147	> 167
> - 1.4 (SD below mean	< - 3.8 of young adults)
> 90 ng/ml	< 30
0	>7 > 1.5 cm length loss
no	yes
	No index value < 65

Practical fall risk predictors



- Fall history of at least 2 times the previous year
- Minumum 2 factors from the next:
 - 5 minutes walking without a cane
 - Cutting toe nails oneself
 - Sitting down / standing up without a problem
 - (un)dressing oneself
 - Frail and over 80



Balance is:



Task specific

- Context specific
- Still similarities in balance strategies



Balance strategies non-intentional movements We get you whoving

- Predictive/preparation
 expected prevention, = predominantly dynamic
 - Counter-weight, dynamic reactions that accompany intentional movements
 - Static mechanisms:
 - stiffening joints
 - increase base of sup.

- Reactive/correction unexpected loss
 - Ankle/hip strategies
 - Insecurity strategy
 - Stumble strategies
 - o Sideways, backward
 - o Forward
 - Arm strategy
 - Fall strategy

Guccione cs 2001, Bronstein cs 2004, Pijnappels 2005





Ankle strategy

Hip strategy Stepping/stumble strategy

Bronstein A, et al (2004)

Clinical disorders of balance, posture and gait

Stumble strategy



- When the centre of gravity falls over the limit of stability: a leg and/or an arm is used for support
 - Sideways: with / without crossing feet
 - Backward
 - Forward
 - o Stepping strategy
 - o Suspension strategy



A. Suspension strategy

B. Stepping strategy

Pijnappels M. Fysiotherapie en Ouderenzorg, 2005

>> stumbling sideways is more dangerous: femoral neck fractures!! > Walk fast!

Negociate/avoid obstacles



- Short step and long step strategy
- Research:
 - Reaction speed can hardly be influenced
 - Elderly choose the long step

 Hamstrings have too less braking force to rely on a short step
 - Long step often too short and low
- Training: elongate and heighten the step

Recently more focus on lateral balance loss







Balance problems during specific sets of intentional movements

Initiation (go/stop)

- Maintain weight-bearing during a task
- Limits of reaching
- Single leg stance
- Obstacle negociation
 - Inclusion of other constraints like cognitive dual tasks, darkness etc







No Movement Errors

Figure 1. The cyclical effects of immobility on balance control in an elderly subject. Simmons & Hansen, 1997

FICSIT land programme



- Interventions that include balance training significantly reduces falls
- Balance training is also important to become aware of the limits of stability
- Frailty and Injuries: Cooperative Studies of Intervention Techniques, Province, 1995 and Takazawa 2003

Training programme



- Balance incl single stance activities
- Isokinetic muscle strengthening for hip knee and ankle
- Tai Chi
- Obstacle course
- Flexibility/strength exercises on a mat
- Standing up again

Judge 1993



Nijmegen fall prevention

payed by insurance because: evidence based Weerdesteyn 2004

Based on:

- the "stimulating landscape" Mulder
- Stumble research Duysens
- Components:
 - Obstacle course + double tasks
 - Group activities (anticipate other people)
 - Learning to fall (judo)
 - T'ai Chi
 - Halliwick in a pilot study (Smulders, 2003)

Problems



- Walking may lead to slipping, stumbling or falling when at the same time, the person has to:
 - Maintain direction
 - Vary gait parameters
 - Perform a dual / multi task
 Memorize, carry objects, sing / talk
 - Negotiate obstacles and/or people
 - Walk with poor light conditions

Results from "Nijmegen"



Elderly:

- Medio-lateral sway increased
- 80% of time we look around when walking, rotation of the spine has decreased > more foot turning
- Stumbling: e.g. hamstrings react well (only 6 ms later as the younger) but with a low amplitude
- Long step strategy is chosen often (but just too short)
- Knee flexion is less when negotiating obstacles
- Show less gait variability
- Have more problems on non compliant surfaces

Tai Chi

- TC improves strength, balance and decreases functional limitations, based on self-rating. Compared to e.g. water aerobics TC is a desirable alternative that provides similar health and social benefits
- TC does not improve measures of postural stability in older objects, it promotes confidence (fear of falling questionnaire)





- Fall risk is reduced by 47.5%
- Wolf SL et al. J Am Geriatric Soc, 1996 and Physical Therapy, 1997
- Lan C, et al. Med Sci Sports Exerc, 1997.
- Li F, et al. Ann Behav Med, 2001



Effects of 'In Balans' (T'ai Chi)

- Project Free University A'dam 2003-2005
 - frail elderly (high fall risk, average 85 year)
 - 2x/week;
 - test: POMA / Tinetti
 - effects:

* 38 % scores higher
* controls decrease 5%
* reduction of fear not proven, although pp perceive less fear
- 1 y after the programme, still a fall reduction of 51%



----- = Obstacle course; - - - = Tai Chi; = Controls

Age 85 y, N = 278, 20 wk, 2/wk

Fall incidence decreased with 29% in the Obstacle course group and 45% in the Tai Chi group.

Faber M et al, Fysiotherapie & Ouderenzorg 2005
Most important:



- 3 variables explain 68% of the effect of exercise on fall rate:
 - Highly challenging balance exercises, include:

 Movements of the centre of mass
 Narrow base of support
 - o Minimized upper limb support
 - High total dose: >50 hours (25 weeks à 2 h/wk)
 - Avoiding a walking programme
 - Valid for home dwelling and nursing home residents
 In general 17% fall reduction. Higher % for multiple fallers and nursing home residents
 - Sherrington C, etal. J Am Geriatr Soc 2008
 - SR based on 44 RCT's

Therapy variables for posture



- Maintaining a posture
 - Narrow basis, minimized use of hands
- Self initiated change of posture
 - Change of the COM (esp medio-lat)
- Preparation correction
- Perturbations: expected unexpected

Therapy variables for gait



- Maintain direction
- Vary gait parameters
- Perform a dual / multi task

 o Memorize, carry objects, sing / talk
- Negotiate obstacles and/or people
- Walk with poor light conditions

Additional



- Mobilisation (and disassociation) of spinal rotations, no foot turning
- Strengthening: hamstrings, calfs etc
- Increase step length when negotiating
- Increase knee flexion
- Add gait variations
- Work with non compliant surfaces
- Teaching how to fall

Hydrotherapy



Can hydrotherapy be used in a fall prevention programme?

Guidelines with hydrotherapy



UK: Chart Soc Physio, Nat Osteop Soc, 2001

- Csp osteoporosis guideline
 - There is no evidence to suggest that hydrotherapy has any effect on bone mineral density. However, there is evidence that other physiological parameters can be affected, such as muscle strength, aerobic capacity and pain control. There may also be an increase in psychological wellbeing

Australian and New Zealand Bone Mineral Society, 2000

Position Statement

o Individuals who are frail, severely kyphotic, or suffer from pain or poor balance may benefit from hydrotherapy

Hungarian guidelines (G. Balint, ISMH 2006, personal communication)

Publications: balance and

					EWAC MEDICAL	
Author		Quality		patients	test We get you moving	
Lord, 1993 C	ССТ	2/10, 3a	Positive ss	Elderly	Body sway	
Morris, 1996		4	Positive	CVA	F. Reach	
Simmons, 1996 F	RCT	3/10, 2b	Positive ss	Elderly	F. reach	
Maginnis, 1999		4	positive	Elderly	Berg, TUG	
Muhlenkamp, 2000		2b	Positive ss	Elderly	POMA	
Thorpe, 2000		4	Positive ss	CP case	Functional reach	
Suomi, 2000 F	RCT	4/10, 2b	Positive ss	RA and OA	Postural sway	
Johnston, 2002		4	Positive	Parkinson	Berg	
Suomi, 2003 F	RCT	5/10, 2b		RA and OA	Modified TUG	
Douris, 2003	ССТ	3/10, 3a	Positive ss	Elderly	Berg	
Yozbatiran, 2004 F	RCT	3/10, 2b	Positive	CLBP	Stance 1 leg	
Smulders, 2005	X	4	Positive ss	Elderly	ABC	
Devereux, 2005 / F	RCT	7/10, 1b	Positive ss	Osteoporosis	Step test	
Berger 2005 F	RCT	1b	Positive ss	LE trauma Postural sway		
No systematic reviews available						

Balance



Effectiveness of water exercise on postural movility in the well elderlys: an experimental study on balance enhancement

5 weeks 2 times per week, 45 minutes. Ntotal = 52

Elderly subjects: 80 +/- 5.8 years

Measurement: Functional Reach

Initially: each group at risk, FR < 25 cm

Land sitting	Water sitting	Land exercise	Water exercise
23.6	24.4	28.7*	34.0*
/			

Simmons V, Hansen PD: J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 1996

Simmons/Hansen: programme



- Walking forward, backward high stepping
- Marching for/backward with extended knees
- Sidestepping with/without crossing legs
- Balance on the spot: toe/heel raises etc
- Kicking in diagonals
- twisting



Douris, 2003, J Geriatric Physiotherapy Same programme land and water > not using water well? n=6 land, n=6 water, 6 weeks, 2/week

Exercise protocol Douris



- Walking activities, 3 times each
 - Walking and marching forward 11 feet
 - Side stepping without crossing 11 feet
 - Tandem walking 11 feet
- Exercise activities, 1 set of 15 reps
 - Marching in place
 - Hip flex/ext, abd/add
 - Toe raises and heel raises
 - Sit/stand from pool shelf
 - Shallow knee bends
 - >> not challenging enough?

In summary



- In all articles (ss) changes on balance because of exercise therapy in water
- Not always a ss difference between the intervention and control group
- Mostly simple exercise programmes
 - Sometimes (non)-intentional balance strategies included
 - Land-based exercises do not yield enough effects

Water:



- Halliwick, Ai Chi balance and the obstacle course focused on intentional and non-intentional balance strategies at the ICF levels of body function and activity might be able to increase effects of aquatic therapy
- ICF: International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (World Health Organisation, 2001)

Halliwick 10 point programme, adapted:

- . Mental Adjustment
- . Transversal Rotation Control
- . Upthrust
- . Sagittal Rotation Control
- . Balance in Stillness

As a preparation, to feel secure, for Ai Chi and other activities











The aquatic obstacle course



Formal course (design EWAC, NL)

Informal games and activities in which the intentional and non-intentional balance strategies are used











Hydro and T'ai Chi in knee OA RCT by M. Fransen, Arthritis & Rheumatism 2007

- T'ai Chi: = 56, Hydro: n = 55, waiting list controls: n = 41
- Mean age = 70, minimum = 63 y
- 12 wk, 2/wk and 12 wk follow up
- > congruence hydro better than T'ai Chi
- > hydro larger improvements in objective measures of physical performance than TC
- > improvements sustained in follow-up

Effect sizes at 12 wk



	Hydro vs control	TC vs control
WOMAC pain	0.43**	ns*
WOMAC function	0.62***	0.63
SF12 physical	0.34	0.25
TUG	0.76	0.32
16m walking time	0.49	0.36

*: knee pain on land because of T'ai Chi position: semi squat

**: recent meta-analysis about graded exercise in knee OA: ES = same range for pain

***: same study: ES physical function (0.23 – 0.39) much lower than here

Stroke and balance

Bae Jung-Hyuk, MSc thesis 2006



- Cohort study: no matched controls
- N = 26, duration of CVA?
- Primary outcome: BBS
- 5 treatments
- Intervention: Halliwick
- Conclusion: ss increase of the total BBS score, as well as on some subscales



Stroke and balance

Nam Cheung-Hong, 2006



- RCT
- N = 26, onset = 20 ± 3.24 month
- AT vs Bobath (AT is mainly Halliwick 10p), 6 weeks, 3 times / week
- Measurements: baseline and 6 wk
- Tests: e.g. BBS
- Groups were baseline comparable



Fig 12. The change of Berg Balance Scale between the Aquatic and Baboth groups

BBS Bobath: pre 48.85 post 50.92

BBS AT: pre 48.58 post 51.35, almost at the smallest detectable difference

Effect size very low: no difference between the 2 interventions

Why the negative difference with Bae (although here: 18 treatments). Other stroke group, not enough balance ex in the pool?)

Ai Chi research



- Teixeira R, et al. 2007
 - RCT: balance and fear of falling amongst older adults: total n = 30.
 - Age: 81 ± 3
 - Intervention: Ai Chi, 16 sessions / 6 weeks
 - Controls: usual living pattern
 - Assessment: POMA / Tinetti (max 24 of 28)
 - FES: Falls Efficacy Scale
 - No follow-up









	Ai Chi intra	group	Controls intra		Inter groups	
	Poma	FES	Poma	FES	Poma	FES
Effect size d					1.3	1.55
Wilcox Intra: p =	0.001	0.306	0.254	0.011		
Mann-W Inter: p =					0.021	800.0

Noh Dong-Gook Clinical Rehabilitation 2008

- RCT: Chronic stroke > 6 m.
- Intervention: Halliwick + Ai Chi (n= 9)
- Control: dry bicycle conditioning (n=8)
- Design: 8 wk / 3*wk / 1 hour
- Outcomes:
 - BBS, force plate weight bearing, gait (Modified Motor Assessment Scale), isokinetic strength



Noh programme



- Halliwick/Ai Chi (115 cm deep, 34°)
 - 5 min warming up
 - 25 min SRC weight transfer, TRC and CRC
 - 25 min Ai Chi rounding and balancing
 - 5 min cooling down
 - o * supported by a pt when needed (only first week)
- Dry gym
 - Combination of bicycle ergometer, arm ergometer





Table 2. Outcome Measure: Baseline and Posttest

	Experimental	Group(N=9)	Control Group(N=8)		
Variable	Baseline	Posttest	Baseline	Posttest	
BBS score(max=56)	45.0(17.0)	50.0(8.0)	43.0(25.0)	45.0(25.0)	
MMAS(max=6)	3.0(1.0)	4.0(3.0)	3.5(1.0)	4.0(3.0)	
Move offected side					

P<0.05, sign difference between pre-post and between both groups posttest

```
Effect size = 1.3 (BBS)
```

Conclusion author: Halliwick and Ai Chi are effective in promoting balance and weight-bearing in people with stroke
Noh results 1



	BBS	Anterior weight bearing	Posterior weight bearing	Knee ext force	MMAS
Ехр	11% ↑	7% ↑	12% ↑	22 % ↑	30 % ↑
group	C C	qq		CC	
	22	22		22	
Controls	ΝοΔ	No A	ΝοΔ	5 %↑	12 % ↑

Conclusion



- A-specific hydrotherapy programmes increase balance
- Specific programmes are available, important therapy variables are applicable in water
- These should have the potential to influence balance and underlying factors even more.
- This hypothesis needs to be confirmed through research