

Review



# **Effects of Aquatic Exercises for Patients with Osteoarthritis: Systematic Review with Meta-Analysis**

Ji-Ah Song D and Jae Woo Oh \*D

Department of Nursing, Konyang University, Daejeon 35365, Korea; jasong@konyang.ac.kr

\* Correspondence: ojw77@konyang.ac.kr; Tel.: +82-10-9078-8579

**Abstract:** This systematic review examined papers published in Korean, English and newer publications that were not included in previous studies to assess the effect size of aquatic exercise-based interventions on pain, quality of life and joint dysfunction among patients with osteoarthritis. Six national and international databases were used to review literature (published up to 7 March 2019) on randomized controlled trials of aquatic exercise-based interventions in patients with osteoarthritis. For the 20 studies included, a meta-analysis showed that aquatic exercise produces 0.61-point reduction (n = 756; mean difference (MD) = -0.61; 95% confidence interval (CI): -0.90--0.32) in pain compared with a control group, and aquatic exercise was effective in reducing pain (n = 315; MD = -0.28; 95% CI: -0.50--0.05) compared with a land-based exercise group. Another meta-analysis showed that aquatic exercise produces 0.77-point improvement in quality of life (n = 279; MD = -0.77; 95% CI: -1.38--0.15) compared with a control group. Finally, a meta-analysis showed that aquatic exercise produces 0.34-point reduction in joint dysfunction (n = 279; MD = -0.77; 95% CI: -1.38--0.15) compared with a control group. For patients with osteoarthritis, aquatic-exercise-based interventions are effective for reducing pain and joint dysfunction and improving quality of life.

Keywords: osteoarthritis; hydrotherapy; exercise therapy; systematic review

# 1. Introduction

Osteoarthritis is an aging-related chronic degenerative disease that begins at the age of approximately 50–60 years [1] and commonly affects the knee and hip joints [2]. The main symptom of osteoarthritis is pain; however, joint stiffness, instability and weakness are also observed; these symptoms result in functional limitations in daily life, difficulty performing activities, lower quality of life and systemic inflammation [1,3–5].

The Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention describe osteoarthritis as a representative musculoskeletal disease caused by aging and has reported that its prevalence among the entire Korean population is 12.5%, and 5.1% and 18.9% among men and women aged over 50 years, respectively [2]. In particular, osteoarthritis is observed in three out of 10 women over the age of 70 and most commonly presents in the knee area (36.1%) [2].

Treatment for osteoarthritis includes drug therapy, surgical therapy and exercise [3,6]. In addition, massage, aromatherapy, hot therapy and cold therapy are administered to relieve symptoms [6]. Among these treatments, exercise is particularly highly recommended because it is easy to perform, inexpensive and has a low risk of side effects [3]. The most commonly recommended types of exercise for patients with osteoarthritis include musclestrengthening movements, aerobics and exercises performed in water or on the floor that have a low impact on joints [7].

Strengthening the muscles around the joints is an important element of the treatment for osteoarthritis, as it helps to improve cartilage quality, nerve activation and coordination between muscles [8]. Moreover, stronger muscles help the joints to absorb the body weight while walking [8]; more specifically, strong muscles facilitate the absorption and distribution



**Citation:** Song, J.-A.; Oh, J.W. Effects of Aquatic Exercises for Patients with Osteoarthritis: Systematic Review with Meta-Analysis. *Healthcare* **2022**, *10*, 560. https://doi.org/10.3390/ healthcare10030560

Academic Editor: Filipe Manuel Clemente

Received: 31 January 2022 Accepted: 10 March 2022 Published: 16 March 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). of weight at the hip and knee joints, thereby increasing stability and improving function and mobility [9,10].

Aquatic exercises are particularly beneficial for overweight and older patients with osteoarthritis. The waves and buoyancy of water support the weight of the body, reducing the impacts on joints and the intensity of perceived pain [11]. Furthermore, the temperature and water pressure of warm water relax muscles, relieve stress, reduce muscle stiffness, and facilitate movement [11–14]. Aquatic exercises are also effective for increasing muscle strength [15].

Many studies have reported the effects of aquatic exercise for patients with musculoskeletal problems, finding these exercises to be beneficial for pain, function, and quality of life [11,13,16]. A systematic review of the literature on the effects of aquatic exercise for patients with osteoarthritis has also been performed; however, the review only examined the effects on muscle strength, and the effect size was not considered [17]. Therefore, the present study aimed to systematically review papers published in Korean and recently published papers that have not been included in previous studies to assess the effect, as well as the effect size, of aquatic exercise on pain, joint function, and quality of life among patients with osteoarthritis. Based on the findings, this study suggests an evidence-based intervention plan for nursing practice and makes recommendations for future research regarding aquatic exercise interventions for patients with osteoarthritis.

#### 2. Methods

#### 2.1. Study Design

The material and methods are based on the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines. This study comprises a systematic review of randomized controlled trials (RCTs), non-randomized clinical studies, non-comparative studies and case reports were excluded. The researchers submitted the research proposal to the institutional review board of the Konyang University based on the regulations on research ethics and collected data after obtaining review exemption approval (KYU-2019-241-01).

#### 2.2. Eligibility Criteria

All study characteristics used to decide whether a study was eligible for inclusion in the systemic literature review was selected based on the PICO (Patients, Intervention, Comparator, Outcome) framework [18].

#### 2.2.1. Patients

We sought studies that featured patients who were diagnosed with osteoarthritis and who were capable of communicating.

#### 2.2.2. Intervention

Studies that administered aquatic exercise-based interventions were selected. Aquatic exercise was defined as exercises performed in water that was between waist and chest height. The exercise types were cardiovascular exercises, such as walking, running, and other movements and weight training exercises, such as stretching, dumbbell use and leg lifts [19].

#### 2.2.3. Comparator

To include comparative interventions, we sought studies that featured a control group that did not receive the experimental treatment, and/or a group that performed land-based exercises.

# 2.2.4. Outcome

The outcome focused on in this review was the effects of aquatic exercise on patients with osteoarthritis of the lower extremities. Pain was selected as the primary outcome.

Pain was measured on various scales (Visual Analog Scale; VAS, Western Ontario and McMaster Universities OA Index; WOMAC, Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score; KOOS, etc.), and in a study using two or more pain scales, WOMAC and KOOS results were used for meta-analysis. Joint function, which is influenced by the degree of stiffness and movement of one's joints, and quality of life, which reflects an individual's general well-being in daily life, were analyzed as secondary outcomes.

## 2.2.5. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The inclusion criteria for articles to be systemically analyzed were as follows: (1) concerning aquatic exercise among patients with osteoarthritis, (2) featuring an RCT design, (3) published in English or Korean and (4) published in a journal.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) a hydrotherapy-focused study, such as balneotherapy using mineral water, spa therapy (focusing on water temperature and flow rate), or Kneipp hydrotherapy (focusing on temperature and water pressure); (2) an animal-focused or pre-clinical study; (3) a non-comparative study; (4) an unpublished thesis; (5) a non-experimental study, such as observational research or a review; and (6) a study in which the intervention outcomes concerned cost.

#### 2.3. Information Sources, Search Strategy and Selection Process

#### 2.3.1. Information Sources

For the data search, all searchable items published before 7 May 2019 were examined. International databases used for the search were PubMed, CINAHL and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL). Korean databases, including the Research Information Service System, the Korean Studies Information Service System and DBpia were also searched for published journal articles. To increase the sensitivity of the literature search, gray journals (i.e., journals that are not part of traditional commercial or academic publishing and distribution channels) were searched manually using Google Scholar, and no limits were placed on the intervention outcomes. MeSH terms and text words were included among the search terms using "AND/OR" and truncation. First, intervention methods featuring the terms "'water' [Mesh]", "aqua\*", "spa" and "'exercise therapy' [MeSH]" were searched. For participants, studies featuring the terms "'arthritis [MeSH]" were searched. Ultimately, the search strategy was "(('water' [MeSH] OR 'aqua\*' OR 'spa') AND 'exercise therapy' [MeSH] AND 'arthritis' [MeSH])."

#### 2.3.2. Search Strategy and Selection Process

The studies returned through the search were filtered based on the search strategy and the inclusion and exclusion criteria. A PRISMA flow chart was created to describe the literature-selection process in detail [16]. A total of 445 articles were returned through the search. Of these, 131 articles were duplicates and were excluded. Thus, the remaining 314 articles were reviewed by two researchers, focusing on the titles and abstracts, to determine their agreement with the search strategy and selection criteria. Through this process, 205 studies were omitted, as they were not related to the search strategy, were not published in Korean or English, and/or had a study design that did not meet the selection criteria. The original texts of the remaining 109 studies were reviewed by applying the same criteria and process as that used for the titles and abstracts. Therefore, 20 articles, comprising the remaining 17 articles and three articles found through manual searches, were qualitatively analyzed. Quantitative meta-analysis was performed on 12 of these studies; in these 12 studies, the outcome variables included pain, quality of life and/or joint function in patients with osteoarthritis, and the results were presented in the form of means and standard deviations (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Study flow chart of study selection process.

# 2.4. Quality Assessment of Articles

In this study, a critical review of the literature was conducted using the Cochrane's Risk of Bias (RoB) tool; a quality assessment tool for RCTs [18]. The tool assesses the quality of RCTs by focusing on seven items: random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting and other bias. For each item, the degree of risk is evaluated as low, uncertain or high. For the present research, quality evaluation of the selected articles was conducted independently by two researchers. If there were any inconsistencies between the researchers' determinations, a third researcher's opinion was obtained, and discussions were held until a consensus was reached.

## 2.5. Data Analysis

For the selected studies, systematic confirmation, synthesis, statistical merging, and reporting of the outcomes were analyzed based on the Cochrane guidelines [18], and the effect size was assessed through meta-analysis.

## 2.5.1. Data Extraction

After analyzing the characteristics of the 20 included papers, the articles were coded and organized (Table 1).

	Sample Size	Intervention Group	Control Group	1	Main Outcome	Intergroup	Author's
First Author (Years)	Age	(Regime)	(Regime)	Aquatic Exercise	Measures	Difference	Conclusion
Arnold (2010)	79 adults with hip OA, over 65 years	<ul> <li>(1) Aquatic exercise, Chest depth (n = 26)</li> <li>(2) Aquatic exercise and Education, Chest depth (n = 28)</li> <li>* walking, stretching, jump, dumbbell</li> </ul>	(3) No treatment ( <i>n</i> = 25)	<ul> <li>(1) Duration 45 min, 2 days/week, 11-week</li> <li>(2) Duration 30-min education + 45-min aquatic exercise 2 days/week, 11-week</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>(1) BBSm</li> <li>(2) 6-min Walk test</li> <li>(3) 30-s chair stand</li> <li>(4) ABC-Q</li> <li>(5) TUG<sub>cog</sub></li> </ul>	(1) NS (2) NS (3) $p = 0.022$ (4) $p = 0.047$ (5) NS	"The combination of aquatic exercise and education was effective in improving fall risk factors in older adults with arthritis."
Belza (2002)	222 adults with OA, 55~75 years	(1) Aquatic exercise, adherence, 85~92 °F ( <i>n</i> = 36) * upper- and lower-body activities	<ul> <li>(2) Aquatic exercise, non-adherence, 85~92 °F (n = 65)</li> <li>(3) No treatment (n = 121)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>(1) Duration 1 h,</li> <li>≥2 days/week,</li> <li>20-week</li> <li>(2) Duration 1 h</li> <li>&lt; 2 days/week,</li> <li>20-week</li> </ul>	(1) QWB (2) HAQ (3) Pain-VAS (4) CES-D (5) QOL	(1) $p = 0.02$ (2) $p = 0.02$ (3) NS (4) $p = 0.035$ (5) $p < 0.01$	"When analyzed for level of participation, exercise benefits adults with osteoarthritis. Improved methods are needed to enhance adherence, with increased attention "
Chi (2010)	29 women with OA, Avg. age 61 years	<ul> <li>(1) Aquatic exercise, Chest depth (n = 13)</li> <li>* walking, stretching, running</li> </ul>	(2) Stretching exercise ( <i>n</i> = 16)	(1), (2) Duration 50 min, 2 days/week, 8-week	(1) Flexibility (2) Pain-VAS (3) QOL	(1) NS (2) NS (3) NS	"The 8-week stretching exercise program would significantly improve flexibility, pain control and QOL in patients with osteoarthritis."
Choi (2011)	30 women with knee OA, over 65 years	<ul> <li>(1) Aquatic exercise, Chest depth, 28~30 °C (n = 15)</li> <li>* walking, stretching, jump</li> </ul>	(2) No treatment ( <i>n</i> = 15)	(1) Duration 50 min, 5 days/week, 24-week	(1) Muscle function (2) T-score(pelvic) (3) GDS-K	(1) $p < 0.05$ (2) $p < 0.001$ (3) $p < 0.001$	"Aquatic exercise very effective on improving leg muscle function and T-score as well as depression."

**Table 1.** Summary of randomized controlled trials examining aquatic exercise in osteoarthritis patients.

Sample Size **Intervention Group Control Group** Main Outcome Author's Intergroup **First Author (Years) Aquatic Exercise** Age (Regime) (Regime) Measures Difference Conclusion Fisken (1) TUG 25 adults with OA, (1) Aquatic exercise, (2) Seated-aquatic (1) Duration 60 min, (1) NS "Aqua fitness may Chest depth, 87 °F (2) NS (2015)over 60 years DVD exercise, 97.7 °F 2 days/week, (2) Step test offer a number of (n = 13)(n = 12)12-week (3) Sit-to-stand test (3) NS positive functional \* aerobic, strength (2) Duration 40 min, (4) Handgrip (4) NS and psychosocial once/week, 12-week (5) 400-m walk test (5) NS benefits for older (6) AIMS2-SF (6) NS adults with OA ... " (7) FES-I (7) p < 0.05Foley (1) B/C p = 0.00935 adults with hip (1) Aquatic exercise, (3) No treatment (1), (2) Duration (1) Walk speed "Functional gains (2003)and knee OA, over no information (n = 32)30 min, 3 days/week, (2) Muscle strength (2) A/B, B/Cwere achieved with (n = 28)6-week (3) WOMAC-pain both exercise 50 years p < 0.05(2) Land-based (4) WOMAC-stiff. (3) NS programs compared (5) WOMAC-func. exercise (n = 26)(4) NS with the control \* walking, strength (6) SF-12 PCS (5) NS group." (7) SF-12 MCS (6) A/C p < 0.05(7) NS 145 adults with hip (1), (2) Duration 60 "Access to either Fransen (1) Aquatic exercise, (3) No treatment (1) WOMAC-pain (1) A/C p < 0.05(2007)and knee OA, Waist depth, 34 °C (n = 41)min, 2 days/week, (2) WOMAC-func. (2) A/C, B/Chydrotherapy or Tai 59~85 years (n = 52)12-week (3) SF-12 PCS p < 0.05Chi classes can (2) Tai Chi (n = 52)(4) SF-12 MCS (3) A/C, B/Cprovide large and \* walking, stretching, (5) Depression p < 0.05sustained strength, raise (6) Anxiety (4) NS improvements in (7) Stress (5) NS physical function for (8) Up-and-Go (6) NS many older, (9) 50-foot walk time (7) NS sedentary (10) Stair climb (8) A/C, B/C individuals with p < 0.05chronic hip or knee (9) A/C p < 0.05OA." (10) A/C, B/C p < 0.05

First Author (Years)	Sample Size Age	Intervention Group (Regime)	Control Group (Regime)	Aquatic Exercise	Main Outcome Measures	Intergroup Difference	Author's Conclusion
Hale (2012)	35 adults with hip and knee OA, over 65 years	<ul> <li>(1) Aquatic exercise, Chest depth, 28 °C (n = 20)</li> <li>* walking, stretching, jump, dumbbell</li> </ul>	(2) No treatment, time-matched computer training program ( <i>n</i> = 15)	(1), (2) Duration 60 min, 2 days/week, 12-week	<ul> <li>(1) PPA</li> <li>(2) Step test</li> <li>(3) TUG</li> <li>(4) WOMAC</li> <li>(5) AIMS2-SF</li> <li>(6) ABC-Q</li> </ul>	(1) NS (2) NS (3) NS (4) NS (5) NS (6) NS	"Water-based exercise did not reduce falls risk in our sample compared with attending a computer skills training class."
Han (2012)	29 women with hip and knee OA, 65~70 years	<ul> <li>(1) Aquatic exercise <ul> <li>(n = 10)</li> <li>(2) Swimming</li> <li>exercise (n = 10)</li> </ul> </li> <li>* walking, stretching, jump</li> </ul>	(3) No treatment ( <i>n</i> = 9)	(1), (2) Duration 50 min, 3 days/week, 12-week	<ul><li>(1) In body</li><li>(2) Step length</li><li>(3) Gait cadence</li><li>(4) Speed</li><li>(5) Pain-VAS</li></ul>	(1) NS (2) NS (3) NS (4) <i>p</i> < 0.01 (5) <i>p</i> < 0.05	"Aquarobic group showed significant increase of lean body mass, and significant decrease body fat, BMI, pain "
Hinman (2007)	64 adults with hip and knee OA, over 60 years	<ul> <li>(1) Aquatic exercise,</li> <li>(1) Aquatic exercise,</li> <li>Chest depth, 34 °C</li> <li>(n = 33)</li> <li>* walking, stretching,</li> <li>hitching, raise</li> </ul>	(2) No treatment ( <i>n</i> = 31)	(1) Duration 45~60 min, 2 days/week, 6-week	<ol> <li>(1) Pain-VAS</li> <li>(2) WOMAC-pain</li> <li>(3) WOMAC-stiff.</li> <li>(4) WOMAC-func.</li> <li>(5) QOL</li> <li>(6) PASE</li> <li>(7) Muscle strength</li> <li>(8) Step test</li> <li>(9) TUG</li> <li>(10) 6-min Walk test</li> </ol>	(1) $p < 0.05$ (2) $p < 0.001$ (3) $p < 0.01$ (4) $p < 0.001$ (5) $p < 0.05$ (6) NS (7) $p < 0.05$ (8) NS (9) NS (10) $p < 0.01$	"Compared with no intervention, a 6-week program of aquatic physical therapy resulted in significantly less pain and improved physical function, strength, and quality of life."
Jeong (2016)	30 women with knee OA, over 65 years	<ul> <li>(1) Aquatic exercise, Chest depth,</li> <li>29~30 °C (n = 10)</li> <li>(2) Land-based exercise, Nordic walking (n = 10)</li> <li>* walking, stretching, raise</li> </ul>	(3) No treatment ( <i>n</i> = 10)	(1), (2) Duration 60 min, 4 days/week, 8-week	<ul> <li>(1) WOMAC</li> <li>(2) Arm curl test</li> <li>(3) 30-s chair stand</li> <li>(4) Back scratch</li> <li>(5) Chair sit-reach</li> <li>(6) 2.44-m-TUG</li> <li>(7) 6-min Walk test</li> </ul>	(1) $p < 0.001$ (2) $p < 0.001$ (3) NS (4) $p < 0.001$ (5) $p < 0.001$ (6) $p < 0.001$ (7) NS	"Walking exercise in the water and walking exercise on the ground are positive exercises that can promote health for the elderly women with degenerative arthritis "

Sample Size **Intervention Group Control Group** Main Outcome Author's Intergroup **First Author (Years) Aquatic Exercise** Age (Regime) (Regime) Measures Difference Conclusion Kim 28 women with knee (1) Pain-VAS "... aquatic exercise (1) Aquatic exercise, (3) No treatment (1), (2) Duration (1) *p* < 0.01 OA, over 65 years Chest depth, 28 °C (2009)(n = 10)60 min, 4 days/week, (2) Free Oxygen (2) p < 0.01in combination (n = 9)8-week Radical (3) p < 0.01including decreases (2) Land-based (3) TAC of ROS, are safe and exercise. Nordic moderately effective .... " walking (n = 9)\* walking, stretching, jump, raise Lee 29 women with knee (1) Aquatic exercise (3) No treatment (1), (2) Duration (1) Power (1) NS "Aquarobic exercise (n = 10)50 min, 3 days/week, (2) Isometric (2) NS more effective than (2010)OA, 60~70 years (n = 9)swimming on leg (2) Swimming 12-week (3) Leg length (3) NS exercise (n = 10)(4) Knee joint (4) NS muscular strength \* walking, stretching, distance (5) NS and ROM for running, jump, raise (5) ROM degenerative arthritis." Lim 34 adults with knee (A) Duration 30 min, (1) Pain-BPI (1) p < 0.05"Aquatic exercise is (1) Aquatic exercise, (2) No treatment (2007)OA, Avg. Chest depth, 32 °C (n = 15)3 days/week, 8-week (2) Interference-BPI (2) p < 0.05an effective tool for 65.9(A)/62.1(B) years (n = 19)(3) WOMAC (3) p = 0.001obese patients who \* no information (4) SF-36 PCS (4) NS have a difficulty in (5) SF-36 MCS (5) NS active exercise due to (6) body mass (6) NS combined knee (7) Body fat mass (7) NS osteoarthritis." (8) Waist-hip ratio (8) NS Lund 192 adults with knee (1) Pain-VAS (1) NS "Only land-based (1) Aquatic exercise, (3) No treatment (1) Duration 50 min, (2008)OA, Avg. Chest depth, 33.5 °C (n = 25)2 days/week, 8-week (2) KOOS-symp. (2) NS exercise showed 65.0(A)/70.0(B)/ (n = 26)(3) KOOS-pain (3) NS some improvement 68.0(C) years (2) Land-based (4) KOOS-ADL (4) NS in pain and muscle (5) KOOS-sport strength compared exercise (n = 20)(5) NS (6) KOOS-QOL (6) NS with the control \* walking, stretching, group ... " (7) Muscle strength (7) NS running (8) Balance (8) NS

Table 1. Cont.

irst Author (Years)	Sample Size Age	Intervention Group (Regime)	Control Group (Regime)	Aquatic Exercise	Main Outcome Measures	Intergroup Difference	Author's Conclusion	
Lyp (2016)	yp 192 adults with hip (1) After THR, (3) After THE D16) OA, 48~82 years Aquatic exercise + Kinesitherapy Kinesitherapy + low-frequency low-frequency magnetic field magnetic field, Chest ( $n = 32$ ) depth, 34 °C ( $n = 32$ ) (D) Kinesitherapy (2) Aquatic exercise + low-frequency Kinesitherapy + magnetic field low-frequency ( $n = 32$ ) magnetic field, Chest ( $n = 32$ ) (E) After THR, depth, 34 °C ( $n = 32$ ) treatment ( $n = 32$ )		<ul> <li>(D) Kinesitherapy + low-frequency magnetic field (n = 32)</li> <li>(E) After THR, No treatment (n = 32)</li> <li>(F) No treatment</li> </ul>	(1), (3) Duration 30 min, 5 days/week, 4-week	(1) Pain-VAS (2) Pain-Laitinen scale (3) HAROM (4) Strength of hip joint	(1) <i>p</i> < 0.001 (2) <i>p</i> < 0.01 (3) -1. A/B/E NS (3) -2. C/D/F NS (4) -1. A/B/E NS (4) -2. C/D/F NS	"Inclusion of water exercises in a rehabilitation program can reduce the use of medicines in patient with OA and after THR."	
Munukka (2016)	84 women with knee OA, 60~68 years	<ul> <li>(1) Aquatic exercise, Chest depth,</li> <li>30~32 °C (n = 42)</li> <li>* resistance ROM</li> </ul>	(2) No treatment (n = 42)	(1) Duration 60 min, 3 days/week, 16-week	<ul> <li>(1) T2 relaxation time</li> <li>(2) dGEMRIC</li> <li>(3) VO<sub>2</sub> peak</li> <li>(4) KOOS</li> </ul>	(1) $p < 0.05$ (2) $p < 0.05$ (3) $p = 0.01$ (4) NS	"Additionally, aquatic resistance training of sufficient intensity low risk of harm amongst women with mild knee OA."	
Patrick (2001)	225 adults with OA, 55~75 years	<ul> <li>(1) Aquatic exercise: adherence, Chest depth, 85~92 °F (n = 36)</li> <li>(2) Aquatic exercise: non-adherence, Chest depth, 85~92 °F (n = 68)</li> <li>* upper- and lower-body activities</li> </ul>	(3) No treatment ( <i>n</i> = 121)	(1) Duration 45~60 min, 2 days/week, 20-week (2) Duration 45~60 min, <2 days/week, 20-week	<ul> <li>(1) QWB</li> <li>(2) Health desirability</li> <li>(3) HAQ-disability</li> <li>(4) HAQ-pain</li> <li>(5) PQOL-physical</li> <li>(6) CES-D</li> </ul>	(1) NS (2) $p < 0.05$ (3) $p < 0.05$ (4) NS (5) $p < 0.01$ (6) NS	"Aquatic exercisers reported equal (QWB) or better (CHDR, HAQ, PQOL) health-related quality of life compared with controls."	

First Author (Years)	Sample Size Age	Intervention Group (Regime)	Control Group (Regime)	Aquatic Exercise	Main Outcome Measures	Intergroup Difference	Author's Conclusion
Silva (2008)	64 adults with knee OA, Avg. age	(1) Aquatic exercise, Chest depth, 32 °C	(2) Land-based exercise $(n = 32)$	(1), (2) Duration 50 min, 3 days/week,	(1) Pain-VAS (2) Lequesne index	(1) NS (2) NS	"Water-based exercises are a
	59 years	( <i>n</i> = 32) * stretching, strengthening		18-week	<ul><li>(3) WOMAC</li><li>(4) After 50FWT</li><li>Pain-VAS</li><li>(5) Walking time-fast</li></ul>	(3) NS (4) <i>p</i> < 0.01 (5) NS (6) NS	suitable and effective alternative for the management of OA of the knee."
Wang (2007)	38 adults with hip and knee OA, Avg. age 69.3(I)/62.7(C) years	<ul> <li>(1) Aquatic exercise, Chest depth, 30~32 °C (n = 20)</li> <li>* walking, stretching, lift</li> </ul>	(2) No treatment ( <i>n</i> = 18)	(1) Duration 50 min, 3 days/week, 12-week	<ul> <li>(6) Walking time</li> <li>(1) Flexibility</li> <li>(2) Strength-knee</li> <li>(3) Strength-hip</li> <li>(4) Physical function</li> <li>(5) Pain-VAS</li> </ul>	(1) $p < 0.05$ (2) $p < 0.05$ (3) $p < 0.05$ (4) NS (5) NS	"Beneficial short-term effects of aquatic exercise were found in adults with osteoarthritis of the hip or knee."

BBSm = Berg Balance Scale-modified; ABC-Q = Activities and Balance Confidence Questionnaire; TUG<sub>cog</sub> = Time Up-and-Go test, Dual-Task cognitive; QWE = Quality of Well-Being; HAQ = Health Assessment Questionnaire; VAS = Visual Analog Scale; CES-D = Center for Epidemiological Studies-Depression scale; QOL = Quality of Life; GDS-K = Geriatric Depression Scale in Korea. TUG = Time Up-and-Go test; AIMS2-SF = Arthritis Impact Measurement Scale-Short Form; FES-I = Falls Efficacy Scale-International; WOMAC = Western Ontario and McMaster Universities OA Index; PCS = Physical Component Scale; MCS = Mental Component Scale. PPA = Physiological Profile Assessment; TUG = Time Up-and-Go test; PASE = Physical Activity Scale for the Elderly; TAC = Total antioxidant capacity; ROM = Range of Motion. BPI = Brief Pain Inventory; KOOS = Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (self-assessed impact of OA pain, other symptoms, activities of daily living, sport, quality of life); THR = Total Hip Replacement; HAROM = Hip Active Ranges of Motion; dGEMRIC = delayed Gadolinium-Enhanced Magnetic Resonance Imaging of Cartilage; QWB = Quality of Well-Being scale; PQOL = Perceived Quality of Life scale; CES-D = Center for Epidemiological Studies-Depression scale; FWT = Feet Walk Test. \* = Exercise type. NS = not significant.

#### 2.5.2. Selection of the Analysis Model

In this study, as a result of the data extraction methods applied, we felt that it was likely that there was heterogeneity between the studies regarding the methods, times and durations of the interventions. Thus, the data were analyzed using a random effects model [18,20].

# 2.5.3. Effect Size Calculation

In this study, effect size was analyzed using means and standard deviations, since the target outcome variables were continuous variables. When, across two or more studies, the same measurement tool was used to measure the same intervention outcomes, the mean difference (MD) was calculated by using the final mean values provided for each intervention and control group. When various measurement tools were used across studies, the standardized MD was calculated. The effect of each outcome variable and the 95% confidence interval (CI) were analyzed using the inverse variance method.

#### 2.5.4. Heterogeneity Test

Heterogeneity refers to the differences between the individual studies included in the meta-analysis. In this study, the heterogeneity between studies was evaluated using Higgins  $I^2$ -statistic. When the  $I^2$  value is 25%, 50% or above 75%, the heterogeneity is considered low, moderate or high, respectively [18,20].

#### 3. Results

# 3.1. General Characteristics of the Systemically Reviewed Articles

The general characteristics of the 20 studies [14,21–39] included in the systematic literature review are as follows (Table 1). All patients included in the studies were aged 48 years or older. Two studies focused on patients with hip osteoarthritis (10%), eight studies featured patients with knee osteoarthritis (40%), six studies featured patients with hip and knee osteoarthritis (30%) and four studies (20%) did not limit their patient sample to any particular type of osteoarthritis.

The aquatic exercise programs were administered by trained experts in pools in which the water was between waist and chest height and was 28–34 °C. The most common durations of the intervention sessions were 50 and 60 min, respectively; these durations were used by seven studies each. The number of sessions per week varied from two to five. The most common duration of the intervention program was 12 weeks (six studies), and the range across the studies analyzed was 4–24 weeks.

All 20 studies included in the analysis measured joint function to assess the effect of aquatic exercise. Thirteen studies (65%) measured pain using a visual analog scale and a self-report questionnaire, and four studies (20%) measured quality of life.

# 3.2. Quality Assessment of Articles

In this study, two researchers evaluated the quality of the literature using Cochrane's RoB tool (Figure 2). Two studies (10%) showed a high risk of bias for blinding of participants and personnel; meanwhile, nine studies (45%) did not mention blinding of participants and personnel, meaning they could not be analyzed in this regard. One article (5%) showed a high risk of incomplete outcome data and selective reporting. When each study was observed individually (Figure 2B), no study showed a high risk of bias for more than one item; thus, all 20 studies were included in the analysis.



**Figure 2.** Reviewing authors' judgments about each risk of bias item presented as percentages across all included studies. (**A**) Risk of bias graph and (**B**) risk of bias summary [14,21–39].

# 3.3. Effect Size Estimation

3.3.1. Effects of Aquatic Exercise on Pain among Patients with Osteoarthritis

Of the 13 studies that measured pain, 10 included a control group that did not receive any treatment and presented pain scores in the form of means and standard deviations [14,22,26,27,29,31,33,34,37,39]; these 10 studies were selected for a meta-analysis (Figure 3A). Patients with osteoarthritis who performed aquatic exercise experienced a 0.61-point decrease in pain (n = 756; MD = -0.61; 95% CI: -0.90--0.32) and the effect size differed significantly between the treatment group and the control group (Z = 4.09, p < 0.001). However, moderate heterogeneity was observed (I<sup>2</sup> = 68%), and a subgroup

analysis was performed to assess the cause of the heterogeneity. The 10 studies included in this analysis showed differences regarding participants. Eight studies were performed on subjects with osteoarthritis of the lower extremities, such as the hip and knee. The other two studies recruited patients with any form of osteoarthritis. Aquatic exercise has been found to be more effective in patients with osteoarthritis of the lower extremities [7]; therefore, we felt that it would be meaningful to compare the pain-related changes reported by the studies involving patients with osteoarthritis of the lower extremities with those reported by the studies featuring patients with osteoarthritis of the fingers or vertebrae. In the eight studies conducted on patients with osteoarthritis of the lower extremities, pain was reduced by 0.78 points (n = 388; MD = -0.78; 95% CI: -1.03-0.52) when compared to the control group, the effect size differed significantly between the treatment group and the control group (Z = 9.82, p < 0.001), and low heterogeneity was observed (I<sup>2</sup> = 29%). In the two studies that did not limit the focus to a particular body location, pain was reduced by 0.11 points (n = 368; MD = -0.11; 95% CI: -0.33-0.11) when compared to the control group, the effect size did not significantly differ between the treatment group and the control group, and no heterogeneity was observed ( $I^2 = 0\%$ ).

Among the studies that measured pain and presented pain scores in the form of means and standard deviations, a meta-analysis was performed on six studies that included a control group that performed land-based exercise [23,26,27,31,34,38] (Figure 3B). This showed that pain in patients who performed aquatic exercise was reduced by 0.28 points (n = 315; MD = -0.28; 95% CI: -0.50--0.05) when compared to the group that performed land-based exercise. The effect size differed significantly between the aquatic exercise group and the land-based exercise group (Z = 2.43, p = 0.001), and heterogeneity was not observed ( $I^2 = 0\%$ ).

#### 3.3.2. Effects of Aquatic Exercise on the Quality of Life of Patients with Osteoarthritis

Among the four studies that measured quality of life, three included a control group that did not receive any treatment and presented the quality-of-life score in the form of means and standard deviations; these three studies [14,22,34] were selected for a metaanalysis (Figure 3A). This showed that the quality of life of the patients who performed aquatic exercise improved by 0.77 points (n = 279; MD = -0.77; 95% CI: -1.38--0.15) when compared to the control group. The effect size differed significantly between the aquatic exercise group and the land-based exercise group (Z = 2.44, p = 0.001); however, high heterogeneity was observed (I<sup>2</sup> = 80%).

## 3.3.3. Effects of Aquatic Exercise on Joint Dysfunction among Patients with Osteoarthritis

Among the 20 studies that measured joint dysfunction in patients with osteoarthritis, seven included a control group that did not receive any treatment, assessed joint dysfunction through self-reported questionnaires, and presented the joint dysfunction scores in the form of means and standard deviations; these seven studies [14,26,27,33,34,37,39] were selected for a meta-analysis (Figure 3A). This showed that the joint dysfunction score was 0.34 points lower in the aquatic exercise group (n = 279; MD = -0.77; 95% CI: -1.38--0.15) when compared to the control group. The effect size differed significantly between the two groups (Z = 3.58, p < 0.001), and heterogeneity was not observed (I<sup>2</sup> = 0%).

Meanwhile, when considering studies that featured a land-based exercise group, the aquatic exercise group [26,27,34] showed a 0.14-point lower dysfunction score (n = 204; MD = -0.14; 95% CI: -0.42–0.13). The effect size did not significantly differ between the two groups, and heterogeneity was not observed ( $I^2 = 0\%$ ; Figure 3B).

# Pain

	Aquat	ic exerc	cise	Not Aquatic exercise				Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference			
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI			
1.1.1 hip/knee OA												
Foley, 2003	-1	3	28	1	3	32	10.7%	-0.66 [-1.18, -0.14]				
Fransen, 2007	27.3	18.7	52	40	16.2	41	12.1%	-0.71 [-1.14, -0.29]				
Han 2012	3.1	1.66	20	4.78	1.09	9	6.9%	-1.08 [-1.92, -0.24]				
Hinman 2007	143	79	33	198	108	31	10.9%	-0.58 [-1.08, -0.08]				
Kim 2009	2.27	2.07	9	4.02	1.16	10	5.8%	-1.01 [-1.98, -0.04]				
Lim 2007	3.4	1.5	19	4.1	1.9	15	8.5%	-0.41 [-1.09, 0.28]				
Lund, 2008	57.9	3	26	64	4.4	25	9.1%	-1.60 [-2.24, -0.96]				
Wang 2007	43.5	18.6	20	54.9	25.2	18	9.0%	-0.51 [-1.16, 0.14]				
Subtotal (95% CI)			207			181	72.9%	-0.78 [-1.03, -0.52]	•			
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.04; Ch	i <sup>2</sup> = 9.83	2, df = 7	(P = 0.20)	); I <sup>2</sup> = 29%	6						
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.95	(P < 0.0	0001)									
1.1.2 OA												
Belza 2002	1.4	0.86	36	1.46	0.62	117	12.8%	-0.09 [-0.46, 0.29]				
Patrick 2001	1.382	0.737	98	1.462	0.619	117	14.3%	-0.12 [-0.39, 0.15]				
Subtotal (95% CI)			134			234	27.1%	-0.11 [-0.33, 0.11]	•			
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.00; Ch	i <sup>z</sup> = 0.03	2, df = 1	(P = 0.90	);   <sup>2</sup> = 0%							
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.97	(P = 0.3	3)									
Total (95% CI)			341			415	100.0%	-0.61 [-0.90, -0.32]	•			
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.14; Ch	i² = 27.0	35, df =	9 (P = 0.0	01); I <sup>2</sup> = 6	8%						
Test for overall effect:				- <b>1</b> 1123					-2 -1 0 1 2			
Test for subaroup diff				f=1 (P <	0.0001). P	<sup>2</sup> = 93.4 <sup>4</sup>	%		aquatic exercise no treatment			

# QOL (Quality of Life)

	aquatic exercise		no treatment			:	Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
1.2.1 short term inter	rvention								
Hinman 2007	0.43	0.2	36	0.5	0.2	35	33.6%	-0.35 [-0.82, 0.12]	
Lund, 2008	41.1	3.4	26	43.3	3.8	25	30.9%	-0.60 [-1.16, -0.04]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			62			60	64.5%	-0.45 [-0.81, -0.09]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.00; Ch	$i^2 = 0.4$	7, df = 1	(P = 0.4)	49); l²	= 0%			
Test for overall effect:	Z= 2.45	(P = 0.0	01)						
1.2.2 long term interv	vention								
Belza 2002	0.278	0.45	36	1.09	0.66	121	35.5%	-1.31 [-1.71, -0.91]	+
Subtotal (95% CI)			36			121	35.5%	-1.31 [-1.71, -0.91]	◆
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable								
Test for overall effect:	Z = 6.40	(P < 0.0	00001)						
Total (95% CI)			98			181	100.0%	-0.77 [-1.38, -0.15]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.24; Ch	i² = 10.	16.df=	2(P = 0)	).006)	; <b>I</b> ² = 80	%		
Test for overall effect:				Ċ.	Č.				-4 -2 U 2 4
Test for subaroup diff		•	·	= 1 (P =	0.00	2),   <sup>2</sup> = 8	39.7%		aquatic exercise no treatment

# Dysfunction

	aquatic exercise		aquatic exercise no treatment Std. Mea				Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Foley, 2003	33	17	28	37	13	32	13.4%	-0.26 [-0.77, 0.25]	
Fransen, 2007	34.8	23.7	52	49.9	19	41	19.6%	-0.69 [-1.11, -0.27]	_ <b>-</b>
Hinman 2007	598	316	33	656	373	31	14.5%	-0.17 [-0.66, 0.32]	
Lim 2007	22.7	11.5	19	27	17.3	15	7.5%	-0.29 [-0.97, 0.39]	
Lund, 2008	61.7	3.6	26	62.3	3	25	11.5%	-0.18 [-0.73, 0.37]	
Patrick 2001	0.91	0.51	36	1.13	0.67	121	24.9%	-0.34 [-0.72, 0.03]	
Wang 2007	0.9	0.4	20	1	0.5	18	8.5%	-0.22 [-0.86, 0.42]	
Total (95% CI)			214			283	100.0%	-0.34 [-0.53, -0.15]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	= 0.00; Ch	ni≊ = 3.6	8, df = 6	6 (P = 0.	72); I <sup>2</sup>	= 0%		_	
Test for overall effect:	Z= 3.58	(P = 0.0	0003)						aquatic exercise no treatment

(A) Aquatic Exercise versus No treatment.

Figure 3. Cont.

## Pain

	aquati	c exer	cise	land	exerc	ise		Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Choi 2010	2.92	1.71	13	3.75	1.57	16	9.0%	-0.49 [-1.24, 0.25]	
Foley, 2003	-1	3	28	0	3	26	17.2%	-0.33 [-0.87, 0.21]	
Fransen, 2007	27.3	18.7	52	30.7	18.9	52	33.5%	-0.18 [-0.56, 0.21]	
Kim 2009	2.27	2.07	9	3.01	0.32	9	5.6%	-0.48 [-1.42, 0.46]	
Lund, 2008	57.9	3	26	60	4.4	20	14.0%	-0.56 [-1.16, 0.03]	
Silva 2008	7.36	8.66	32	7.81	9.22	32	20.7%	-0.05 [-0.54, 0.44]	
Total (95% CI)			160			155	100.0%	-0.28 [-0.50, -0.05]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 2.49, df = 5 (P = 0.78); I <sup>2</sup> = 0%									-2 -1 0 1 2
Test for overall effect	Z= 2.43	(P = 0.0	01)						aquatic exercise land exercise

# Dysfunction



(B) Aquatic Exercise versus Land Exercise

**Figure 3.** Forest plot of the effects of aquatic exercise for pain, quality of life and function in osteoarthritis patients. Effects of aquatic exercise versus (**A**) no treatment and (**B**) land exercise. M = mean; SD = standard deviation; CI = confidence interval; df = degrees of freedom; MD = mean difference; SMD = standardized mean difference [14,22,23,26,27,29,31,33,34,37–39].

# 4. Discussion

This study concerned a systematic literature review of RCTs with the aim of assessing the effects of aquatic exercise on patients with osteoarthritis in terms of pain, joint function and quality of life. Twenty studies, selected based on specific inclusion and exclusion criteria, were qualitatively analyzed, and qualitative meta-analyses were performed on 12 of these studies that presented outcome variables, including pain, quality of life, and joint dysfunction, in the form of means and standard deviations.

The methods, session length, and program duration of the interventions varied across the 20 studies included in the analysis. Thus, it was difficult to analyze their effects. However, the aquatic exercises were generally performed in water that was 32–34 °C. Further, most studies concurrently included weight training and cardiovascular exercise, such as walking, running and weightlifting. Most studies administered 12-week programs featuring sessions lasting 50–60 min. The interventions showed beneficial effects on pain, quality of life and joint function. It is known that aquatic exercise, balneotherapy (which involves the use of mineral water), spa therapy (focusing on water temperature and flow) and Kneipp hydrotherapy (using water temperature and pressure) show positive effects for patients with osteoarthritis [19]. The results of the current study are consistent with these existing findings.

A meta-analysis was performed to assess the effects of aquatic exercise on pain reduction in patients with osteoarthritis. In most of the analyzed studies, pain was subjectively assessed using self-report questionnaires and visual analog scales. The meta-analysis showed that pain was significantly reduced in the aquatic exercise groups when compared to control groups that did not receive any treatment. Notably, the effect size differed depending on the site of the patients' osteoarthritis. Pain significantly decreased in patients with hip and/or knee osteoarthritis; however, pain was not significantly reduced in studies that recruited patients with any form of osteoarthritis (Figure 3A). These results suggest that aquatic exercise can alleviate pain, especially among patients with osteoarthritis in their lower extremities. Strengthening the muscles around the joints through exercise is likely to increase joint function, contributing to pain alleviation [8,10]. However, only two studies included in the current study's meta-analysis were conducted on patients with upper extremity osteoarthritis. Therefore, further studies assessing the causal relationship in this regard are necessary.

Moreover, aquatic exercise was also determined to be more effective than land-based exercise for reducing pain (Figure 3B). Previous studies have suggested that water temperature and other characteristics such as waves and pressure relax the muscles and soothe nerve endings, thereby reducing pain [40]. In addition, perceived pain reduction and muscle relaxation facilitate joint movement and may help to strengthen the muscles [11,15]. Therefore, this finding underlines the above finding that aquatic exercise may represent an effective intervention method for reducing pain and symptoms in patients with osteoarthritis.

A meta-analysis was performed on three studies that assessed the effects of aquatic exercise on the quality of life of patients with osteoarthritis. Quality of life is a multidomain concept comprising physical, mental, social and spiritual aspects, and indicates one's general well-being [41]. Osteoarthritis, which is accompanied by functional limitation of the joints, leads to pain in the affected joints, physical disability and decreased quality of life [42,43]. However, only three of the 20 studies included in the analysis assessed quality of life; therefore, future studies of the quality of life of patients with osteoarthritis are necessary. The durations of the aquatic exercise interventions were found to influence their effect on quality of life. When compared to control groups that did not receive any treatment, quality of life score increased as the intervention duration increased (Figure 3A). Studies included in the analysis administered six-week, eight-week and 20-week programs, and it may be difficult to make definitive conclusions based on the small number of studies in question. However, these findings suggest that continuous, rather than temporary, aquatic exercise is necessary for patients with osteoarthritis. Note, however, that aquatic exercise can only be performed in pools of appropriate depth and water temperature; therefore, the accessibility of such facilities and the cost of their use should be considered, as these variables may create difficulties regarding performing such exercises regularly [3]. Based on the above findings, a social consensus must be formed, and public medical support must be expanded. In addition, awareness of the need for aquatic exercise decreases over time, leading to reduced performance rate [44]. Thus, behavioral strategies and education that reinforce factors that can increase motivation and, thus, the efficacy of the interventions, must be identified and administered [44].

Aquatic exercise showed beneficial effects for the joint function of patients with osteoarthritis. A meta-analysis revealed significant differences between the aquatic exercise group and the control group in this regard; however, no such significant differences were observed between the aquatic exercise group and the land-based exercise group. Exercise, regardless of setting, may increase joint strength and range of movement, leading to improved joint function [3,8]. However, the incidence rate of osteoarthritis is high in overweight patients, and the buoyancy of water supports body weight, thereby decreasing the impacts on joints; this helps to alleviate pain and facilitate movement [3,11]. This represents a possible reason aquatic exercise is more suitable than land-based exercise for improving joint function. However, as mentioned above, aquatic exercise has limitations in regard to space, time and cost. Thus, appropriate measures to overcome these limitations must be considered. A possible solution would be locally based intervention methods that use the unique characteristics of water to produce similar effects to aquatic exercise.

This study performed a systemic literature review and meta-analyses of RCTs in which aquatic exercise-based interventions were administered to patients with osteoarthritis. This study is significant as, when compared to previous studies that individually verified the effects of such interventions, the present study verified the effects of these interventions in an integrated and scientific manner. However, despite the RCT design of the studies, when the quality of the literature was evaluated risks of bias in relation to random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel and blinding of outcome assessment were observed. Furthermore, a limited number of studies assessing quality of life was included in the meta-analysis. Therefore, future studies that feature more comprehensive approaches and designs are required. In addition, the explanations of the aquatic exercise programs lacked detail. No explanations were given regarding the evidence base for choosing the program durations, numbers of sessions per week, and the durations of each session. Therefore, in terms nursing research, to provide strong evidence for the use of aquatic exercise as a nursing intervention, it is critical to increase the level of existing evidence by applying more rigorous and sophisticated research methods. In terms of nursing practice, it is thought that it will contribute to improving the quality of life and maintaining health by using it as a supplementary alternative therapy to alleviate pain and function in osteoarthritis patients. Finally, PROSPERO is an international database of prospectively registered systematic reviews with a health-related outcome. However, the limitation of this study is that it is not registered with PROSPERO.

In conclusion, underwater exercise is effective in reducing pain and improving function and quality of life in patients with osteoarthritis. Therefore, aquatic therapy can be used not only with medication, but also with other non-pharma and non-surgical interventions such as land-based exercise [45], manual therapy [46], knee bracing [47] and physical modalities [48].

# 5. Conclusions

This study systemically investigated, through assessment of RCTs, the effects of aquatic exercise on pain, quality of life and dysfunction in patients with osteoarthritis. As a result, aquatic exercise was found to alleviate pain, increase quality of life and reduce dysfunction in such patients. However, as the optimal program duration, session frequency and session duration for aquatic exercise have not yet been determined [3], future studies that analyze these effects are necessary. Furthermore, measures to overcome the space-, time- and cost-related limitations associated with aquatic exercise must be sought.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, J.-A.S. and J.W.O.; methodology, J.-A.S.; software, J.-A.S.; validation, J.-A.S. and J.W.O.; writing—original draft preparation, J.-A.S. and J.W.O.; writing—review and editing, J.-A.S.; visualization, J.W.O.; supervision, J.-A.S.; project administration, J.-A.S.; funding acquisition, J.-A.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MOE), grant number NRF2019R1G1A1002538.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Konyang University (protocol code KYU-2019-241-01 and 30 April 2019).

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data sharing is not applicable to this article.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funder had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

## References

- 1. Arden, N.; Nevitt, M.C. Osteoarthritis: Epidemiology. Best Pract. Res. Clin. Rheumatol. 2006, 20, 3–25. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Woo, G.J.; Oh, K.W. Prevalence of osteoarthritis among adults over 50 years old in Korea, 2010–2013. *Public Health Weekly Rep.* 2015, *8*, 82–84.
- Bennell, K.L.; Hinman, R.S. A review of the clinical evidence for exercise in osteoarthritis of the hip and knee. *J. Sci. Med. Sport* 2011, 14, 4–9. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Cudejko, T.; van der Esch, M.; van der Leeden, M.; Holla, J.; Roorda, L.D.; Lems, W.; Waddington, G.; Harlaar, J.; Dekker, J. Proprioception mediates the association between systemic inflammation and muscle weakness in patients with knee osteoarthritis: Results from the Amsterdam Osteoarthritis cohort. *J. Rehabil. Med.* **2018**, *50*, 67–72. [CrossRef]
- 5. Wolfe, F. The C-reactive protein but not erythrocyte sedimentation rate is associated with clinical severity in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee or hip. *J. Rheumatol.* **1997**, *24*, 1486–1488. [PubMed]
- McAlindon, T.E.; Bannuru, R.R.; Sullivan, M.C.; Arden, N.K.; Berenbaum, F.; Bierma-Zeinstra, S.M.; Hawker, G.A.; Henrotin, Y.; Hunter, D.J.; Kawaguchi, H.; et al. OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthr. Cartil.* 2014, 22, 363–388. [CrossRef]
- Nelson, A.E.; Allen, K.D.; Golightly, Y.M.; Goode, A.P.; Jordan, J.M. A systematic review of recommendations and guidelines for the management of osteoarthritis: The chronic osteoarthritis management initiative of the U.S. bone and joint initiative. *Semin. Arthritis Rheum.* 2014, 43, 701–712. [CrossRef]
- Beckwée, D.; Vaes, P.; Cnudde, M.; Swinnen, E.; Bautmans, I. Osteoarthritis of the knee: Why does exercise work? A qualitative study of the literature. *Ageing Res. Rev.* 2013, 12, 226–236. [CrossRef]
- 9. Hinman, R.S.; Hunt, M.A.; Creaby, M.W.; Wrigley, T.V.; McManus, F.J.; Bennell, K.L. Hip muscle weakness in individuals with medial knee osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Care Res.* 2010, *62*, 1190–1193. [CrossRef]
- Knoop, J.; Dekker, J.; van der Leeden, M.; van der Esch, M.; Thorstensson, C.; Gerritsen, M.; Voorneman, R.E.; Peter, W.F.; de Rooij, M.; Romviel, S.; et al. Knee joint stabilization therapy in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee: A randomized, controlled trial. *Osteoarthr. Cartil.* 2013, *21*, 1025–1034. [CrossRef]
- 11. Yázigi, F.; Espanha, M.; Vieira, F.; Messier, S.P.; Monteiro, C.; Veloso, A.P. The PICO project: Aquatic exercise for knee osteoarthritis in overweight and obese individuals. *BMC Musculoskelet. Disord.* **2013**, *14*, 320. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Ambrosini, A.B.; Brentano, M.A.; Coertjens, M.; Kruel, L.F. The effects of strength training in hydrogymnastics for middle-age women. *Int. J. Aquatic. Res. Educ.* 2010, 4, 153–162. [CrossRef]
- 13. Barker, A.L.; Talevski, J.; Morello, R.T.; Brand, C.A.; Rahmann, A.E.; Urquhart, D.M. Effectiveness of aquatic exercise for musculoskeletal conditions: A meta-analysis. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* **2014**, *95*, 1776–1786. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Hinman, R.S.; Heywood, S.E.; Day, A.R. Aquatic physical therapy for hip and knee osteoarthritis: Results of a single-blind randomized controlled trial. *Phys. Ther.* **2007**, *87*, 32–43. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Bento, P.C.B.; Pereira, G.; Ugrinowitsch, C.; Rodacki, A.L.F. The effects of a water-based exercise program on strength and functionality of older adults. *J. Aging Phys. Act.* **2012**, *20*, 469–483. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Batterham, S.I.; Heywood, S.; Keating, J.L. Systematic review and meta-analysis comparing land and aquatic exercise for people with hip or knee arthritis on function, mobility and other health outcomes. *BMC Musculoskelet. Disord.* **2011**, *12*, 123. [CrossRef]
- 17. Mattos, F.; Leite, N.; Pitta, A.; Bento, P.C. Effects of aquatic exercise on muscle strength and functional performance of individuals with osteoarthritis: A systematic review. *Rev. Bras. Reumatol. Engl. Ed.* **2016**, *56*, 530–542. [CrossRef]
- 18. Higgins, J.P.; Green, S. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions; John Wiley & Sons: Chichester, UK, 2011.
- 19. Becker, B.E. Aquatic therapy: Scientific foundations and clinical rehabilitation applications. PM&R 2009, 1, 859–872. [CrossRef]
- 20. Kim, S.Y.; Park, J.E.; Seo, H.J.; Lee, Y.J.; Jang, B.H.; Son, H.J.; Suh, H.S.; Shin, C.M. NECA's Guidance for Undertaking Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses for Intervention; National Evidence-Based Healthcare Collaborating Agency: Seoul, Korea, 2011.
- 21. Arnold, C.M.; Faulkner, R.A. The effect of aquatic exercise and education on lowering fall risk in older adults with hip osteoarthritis. *J. Aging Phys. Act.* **2010**, *18*, 245–260. [CrossRef]
- 22. Belza, B.; Topolski, T.; Kinne, S.; Patrick, D.L.; Ramsey, S.D. Does adherence make a difference? Results from a community-based aquatic exercise program. *Nurs. Res.* **2002**, *51*, 285–291. [CrossRef]
- 23. Choi, H.K.; Cho, K.S.; Kim, J.I. Comparative study of the effects of stretching exercise and aquatic exercise program. *J. Muscle Joint Health* **2010**, *17*, 5–13. [CrossRef]
- 24. Choi, P.B. Effects of aquatic exercise program on leg muscle functions and T-score as well as depression in elderly women with knee osteoarthritis for 24 weeks. *Korean J. Sports Sci.* **2011**, *20*, 1289–1299.
- Fisken, A.L.; Waters, D.L.; Hing, W.A.; Steele, M.; Keogh, J.W. Comparative effects of 2 aqua exercise programs on physical function, balance, and perceived quality of life in older adults with osteoarthritis. *J. Geriatr. Phys. Ther.* 2015, 38, 17–27. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Foley, A.; Halbert, J.; Hewitt, T.; Crotty, M. Does hydrotherapy improve strength and physical function in patients with osteoarthritis–A randomised controlled trial comparing a gym based and a hydrotherapy based strengthening programme. *Ann. Rheum. Dis.* **2003**, *62*, 1162–1167. [CrossRef]
- 27. Fransen, M.; Nairn, L.; Winstanley, J.; Lam, P.; Edmonds, J. Physical activity for osteoarthritis management: A randomized controlled clinical trial evaluating hydrotherapy or Tai Chi classes. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2007, *57*, 407–414. [CrossRef]

- Hale, L.A.; Waters, D.; Herbison, P. A randomized controlled trial to investigate the effects of water-based exercise to improve falls risk and physical function in older adults with lower-extremity osteoarthritis. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* 2012, 93, 27–34. [CrossRef]
- 29. Han, S.W.; Kim, K.T. The effect of aquarobic and swimming exercise for female elderly suffering from degenerative arthritis on body composition, gait function and pain. *Korean J. Sports Sci.* **2012**, *21*, 1077–1089. [CrossRef]
- 30. Jeong, T.W.; Choi, Y.C.; Lee, J.W. Effects of land-based and water-based walking exercise on WOMAC and activity fitness in female elderly with knee osteoarthritis. *Korean J. Sports Sci.* **2016**, *25*, 1409–1421.
- 31. Kim, J.K.; Roh, S.K. Effect of Nordic walking and aquatic exercise on oxidative stress and pain in women with degenerative arthritis. *Exerc. Sci.* 2009, *18*, 549–558. [CrossRef]
- 32. Lee, H.D.; Han, S.W. The effects of swimming and aquarobics exercise programs for female elderly suffering from degenerative arthritis on leg muscular function and knee joint ROM. *Korean J. Sports Sci.* **2010**, *19*, 1129–1139.
- 33. Lim, J.Y.; Kim, B.H.; Chae, E.; Kim, Y.D.; Hong, H.J. The effectiveness of aquatic exercise for obese patients with knee osteoarthritis: Preliminary study. *Korean J. Sports Med.* **2007**, *25*, 98–105.
- Lund, H.; Weile, U.; Christensen, R.; Rostock, B.; Downey, A.; Bartels, E.M.; Danneskiold-Samsøe, B.; Bliddal, H. A randomized controlled trial of aquatic and land-based exercise in patients with knee osteoarthritis. *J. Rehabil. Med.* 2008, 40, 137–144. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Lyp, M.; Kaczor, R.; Cabak, A.; Tederko, P.; Włostowska, E.; Stanisławska, I.; Szypuła, J.; Tomaszewski, W. A water rehabilitation program in patients with hip osteoarthritis before and after total hip replacement. *Med. Sci. Monit.* 2016, 22, 2635–2642. [CrossRef]
- 36. Munukka, M.; Waller, B.; Rantalainen, T.; Häkkinen, A.; Nieminen, M.T.; Lammentausta, E.; Kujala, U.M.; Paloneva, J.; Sipilä, S.; Peuna, A.; et al. Efficacy of progressive aquatic resistance training for tibiofemoral cartilage in postmenopausal women with mild knee osteoarthritis: A randomised controlled trial. Osteoarthr. Cartil. 2016, 24, 1708–1717. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Patrick, D.L.; Ramsey, S.D.; Spencer, A.C.; Kinne, S.; Belza, B.; Topolski, T.D. Economic evaluation of aquatic exercise for persons with osteoarthritis. *Med. Care* 2001, *39*, 413–424. [CrossRef]
- Silva, L.E.; Valim, V.; Pessanha, A.P.; Oliveira, L.M.; Myamoto, S.; Jones, A.; Natour, J. Hydrotherapy versus conventional land-based exercise for the management of patients with osteoarthritis of the knee: A randomized clinical trial. *Phys. Ther.* 2008, 88, 12–21. [CrossRef]
- 39. Wang, T.J.; Belza, B.; Elaine Thompson, F.; Whitney, J.D.; Bennett, K. Effects of aquatic exercise on flexibility, strength and aerobic fitness in adults with osteoarthritis of the hip or knee. *J. Adv. Nurs.* **2007**, *57*, 141–152. [CrossRef]
- 40. Bender, T.; Karagülle, Z.; Bálint, G.P.; Gutenbrunner, C.; Bálint, P.V.; Sukenik, S. Hydrotherapy, balneotherapy, and spa treatment in pain management. *Rheumatol. Int.* 2005, 25, 220–224. [CrossRef]
- 41. Padilla, G.V.; Ferrell, B.; Grant, M.M.; Rhiner, M. Defining the content domain of quality of life for cancer patients with pain. *Cancer Nurs.* **1990**, *13*, 108–115. [CrossRef]
- 42. Alkan, B.M.; Fidan, F.; Tosun, A.; Ardıçoğlu, O. Quality of life and self-reported disability in patients with knee osteoarthritis. *Mod. Rheumatol.* **2014**, *24*, 166–171. [CrossRef]
- 43. Ayis, S.; Dieppe, P. The natural history of disability and its determinants in adults with lower limb musculoskeletal pain. *J. Rheumatol.* **2009**, *36*, 583–591. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Marks, R.; Allegrante, J.P. Chronic osteoarthritis and adherence to exercise: A review of the literature. *J. Aging Phys. Act.* 2005, 13, 434–460. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Vincent, K.R.; Vincent, H.K. Resistance exercise for knee osteoarthritis. PM&R 2012, 4, S45–S52. [CrossRef]
- 46. Pollard, H.; Ward, G.; Hoskins, W.; Hardy, K. The effect of a manual therapy knee protocol on osteoarthritic knee pain: A randomised controlled trial. *J. Can. Chiropr Assoc.* **2008**, *52*, 229–242.
- 47. Cudejko, T.; van der Esch, M.; van den Noort, J.C.; Rijnhart, J.J.M.; van der Leeden, M.; Roorda, L.D.; Lems, W.; Waddington, G.; Harlaar, J.; Dekker, J. Decreased pain and improved dynamic knee Instability mediate the beneficial effect of wearing a soft knee brace on activity limitations in patients with knee osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Care Res.* **2019**, *71*, 1036–1043. [CrossRef]
- 48. Cherian, J.J.; Kapadia, B.H.; Bhave, A.; McElroy, M.J.; Cherian, C.; Harwin, S.F.; Mont, M.A. Use of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation device in early osteoarthritis of the knee. *J. Knee Surg.* **2015**, *28*, 321–327. [CrossRef]